



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/664,912

09/22/2003

Seok Su Kim

8734.232.00 US

7401

30827

7590

05/30/2008

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

PHAM, TAMMY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/664,912	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner TAMMY PHAM	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7-9,11,12,14-30,32 and 34-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7-9,11,12,14-30,32 and 34-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 March 2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 2, 4, 6, 10, 13, 31, 33, have been cancelled. Independent claims 1, 9, 35, have been amended. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11-12, 14-30, 32, 34-47, are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 31 March 2008 have been fully considered, as follows:

4. **In regards to the previously 112 1st rejection and independent claims 1, 9, 30, 35,** Applicant submits that there is adequate support for the teachings recited in claim 1. In particular that there is support for “*a multiplexer functioning as described above is described in combination with multiple embodiments disclosed in the specification (Remarks 11-12).*” This is not persuasive. To recap, claim 1 was rejected due to new matter. The amendments of 28 September 2007 described an “*output part*” that was strictly part of the third embodiment in combination with a “*second multiplexer part*” that was strictly part of the first embodiment. In other words there is no support for an embodiment which contains both an “*output part*” and a

“second multiplexer part” as described in the claims, in the original specifications. In the response of 15 February 2008, Applicant alleges that there is support for this embodiment and refers to section [0130] of the specifications. This is still not persuasive. The cited section [0130] teaches that in Fig. 7, the “[third multiplexer] MUX3 is connected between the output buffer part 274 and the data lines.” However, even though there may be support that the third multiplexer is connected as such, there is still no support that the second multiplexer is connected as the claim language recites. Further, the second multiplexer seems to be located between the second de-multiplexer and before the output buffers, instead of what the claim language currently recites. Hence, the previous 112 1st rejections still stands.

5. **As for the 112 1st rejection of claim 3**, Applicant seems to imply that independent claim 1 and its dependents refers to the first embodiment. In which case, there is support for the "third multiplexer." The previous 112 rejection for claim 3 has been withdrawn.

6. **As for independent claim 1**, Applicant submits that “[n]o portion of Cairns1, including Fig. 2 cited in the Office Action discloses ‘a second multiplexer part providing the corresponding data lines with... a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for the disabled period of the source output enable signal (Remarks 13).” This is not persuasive. First of all, as mentioned above, there is no support for this limitation as currently claimed in claim 1. Second, Cairns1 is not relied upon to teach of the second multiplexer. Enami is relied upon to teach of the second multiplexer, hence this argument is moot.

7. **As for independent claim 1**, Applicant submits that the previously cited references fails to read upon the newly amended claim language (Remarks 14). Morita has been introduced in combination of the previously cited references to teach of *“a de-multiplexer part separately*

supplying the analog pixel signals from the digital-analog converter part to a plurality of output channels, respectively during the first half of a horizontal period and during the second half of the horizontal period (Remarks 14),” as detailed in the rejection below.

8. **As for claims 30, 34,** Applicant submits that none of the previously cited references teaches of *“providing the corresponding data lines with the held pixel signals for an enable period of an input source output enable signal and a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for a disable period of the input source output enable signal (Remarks 14).”* This is not persuasive. Cairns1 teaches of providing the corresponding data lines with the held pixel signals for an enable period of an input source output enable signal and a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for a disable period of the input source output enable signal (Fig. 2, section [0057]).

9. **As for claim 9,** Applicant submits that *“Nitta discloses that a positive DAC that outputs a single positive voltage for a horizontal period and not ‘converting a plurality of time divided pixel data for the first horizontal period provided through the positive polarity output channel of the multiplexer part’ as recited in claim 9 (Remarks 16).”* Morita has been introduced in combination of the previously cited references to teach this newly amended claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

11. **In regards to independent claim 1**, claim 1 has been amended to teach of “*a second multiplexer part providing the corresponding data lines with the pixel signals from the output buffer part (lines 14-15).*” There is no support for this. In particular, the amended claim language of “*an output part outputting simultaneously the analog pixel signals from the corresponding demultiplexer output channels to corresponding data lines (lines 8-9),*” strictly refers to the third embodiment (Fig. 7). The new added claim limitation of “*a second multiplexer part providing the corresponding data lines with the pixel signals from the output buffer part (lines 14-15)*” strictly refers to the first embodiment (Fig. 3). There is no support in the drawings or the specifications for both the output part and the second multiplexer as claimed in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

12. **In regards to independent claim 9 and claim 15**, similar problems as explained above in claim 1 persists in the combination of claims 9 and 15. In particular, claim 9 teaches of an output part (the claim in its present language strictly refers to the third embodiment) while claim 15 teaches of a second multiplexer (the claim in its present language refers to the first embodiment). There is no support in the drawings or the specifications for both the output part and the second multiplexer as taught in the combination of claims 9 and 15. Appropriate correction is required.

13.

14. **As for claims 3, 5, 7-8, 16-27, 29**, these claims are rejected as being dependents upon claims 1 and 9.

15. **As for claim 9**, the amended claim languages recites that the positive and negative digital-to-analog convertors both convert during the first horizontal period (lines 7, 11). There is no support for this. In particular, the specification seem to teach that the positive DAC converts during the first part of the horizontal period and that the negative DAC converts during the second part of the horizontal period (section [0056]). Hence, in order to expedite the examining process, the claims will be rejected as best interpreted. In this case, it will be assumed that Applicant wants the claims to be consistent with the specifications and hence the negative DAC converts during the second (not the first) part of the horizontal period. In the meantime, appropriate correction is necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims 1, 5, 7-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns et al. ("Cairns1") (US Patent Application: 2002/0030652 A1) in view of Cairns et al. ("Cairns2") (US Patent No: 6,268,841 B1), Enami et al (US Patent No: 5,892,493), and Morita (US Patent No.: 6,989,810 B2).

17. **As for independent claim 1**, Cairns1 teaches of a data driving apparatus (Fig. 1, item 2) for a liquid crystal display device (Fig. 1), comprising:
 18. a first multiplexer (Fig. 4, item 13) part performing a time-division on inputted digital pixel data;
 19. a digital-analog converter (Fig. 4, item 12) part converting the time-divided digital pixel data from the first multiplexer (Fig. 4, item 13) part to analog pixel signals;
 20. a demultiplexer (Fig. 4, item 14) part supplying the analog pixel signals from the digital-analog converter (Fig. 4, item 12) part to a plurality of output channels (section [0015]); and of providing signals for the enable period of a source output enable signal and a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for the disabled period of the source output enable signal (Fig. 2).
21. Cairns1 fails to teach of an output part outputting simultaneously the analog pixel signals from the corresponding demultiplexer output channels to corresponding data lines,
22. wherein the output part comprises:
 23. a sampling part sampling the pixel signals from the demultiplexer output channels;
 24. a capacitor part holding the sampled pixel signals from the sampling part, and
 25. an output buffer part coupled to the capacitor part, and
 26. a second multiplexer part providing the corresponding data lines with the pixel signals from the output buffer part; and
27. that the signals are separately being supplied during the first half of the horizontal period and during the second half of the horizontal period.

28. **Cairns2 teaches of an output part** (Fig. 11b) outputting simultaneously the analog pixel signals from the corresponding demultiplexer output channels (Fig. 11b, section “output from demultiplexer”) to corresponding data lines (Fig. 11b, item 8),

29. wherein the output part (Fig. 11b) comprises:

30. a sampling part (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49) sampling the pixel signals from the demultiplexer output channels (Fig. 11b, section “output from demultiplexer”);

31. a capacitor part (Fig. 11b, items C1-C2) holding the sampled pixel signals from the sampling part (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49), providing the corresponding data lines (Fig. 11b, item 8) with the pixel signals from the output buffer part (Fig. 11b, item 40).

32. an output buffer part coupled to the capacitor part.

33. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the output part of Cairns2 with the rest of the components of the data driving apparatus of Cairns1 in order to have fewer converters (Cairns2, column 4, lines 33-35).

34. Cairnes1 and Cairnes2 fails to teach of a second multiplexer part.

35. **Enami teaches of a second multiplexer part** (Fig. 1, item 38) providing the corresponding data lines (Cairnes2, Fig. 11b, item 8) with the pixel signals from the output buffer part (Cairnes2, Fig. 11b, item 40).

36. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second multiplexer as taught by Enami with the data driver of Cairns1 and the output part of Cairns2 in order to allow the apparatus to perform multiplex driving in which the data voltage is sequentially applied to a number of data lines in each of the data groups (Enami, column 3, lines 49-51).

37. Cairns1, Cairns2, and Enami fails to teach that the signals are separately being supplied during the first half of the horizontal period and during the second half of the horizontal period.

38. **Morita teaches that the signals are separately being supplied** during the first half of the horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t2-t7) and during the second half of the horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t8-t13, column 8, lines 18-28).

39. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made have the signals be supplied separate as taught by Morita with the data driving apparatus of Cairns1, the output part of Cairns2, and the second multiplexer part of Enami. The benefits of separating supplying the signals in the first and second horizontal period, is that it allows for a more simple data structure (Morita, column 3, lines 67-1).

40. **As for claim 5**, Cairns1 teaches of a shift register (Fig. 4, item 10) sequentially shifting an input source start pulse in accordance with an input source shift clock to generate a sampling signal; and a latch part (Fig. 4, item 11) sequentially latching the pixel data in response to the

sampling signal and simultaneously providing the latched pixel data to the first multiplexer (Fig. 4, item 13) during an enable period of an input source output enable signal in section [0015].

41. **As for claim 7**, Cairns1 teaches that the first multiplexer (Fig. 4, item 13) and the demultiplexer (Fig. 4, item 14) part are controlled by an ODD/EVEN signal which performs the time-division for a horizontal period in section [0051].

42. **As for claim 8**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2 and Enami teaches that the sampling switches controlled by an ODD/EVEN signal which performs the time-division on a horizontal period in Cairns2, column 1, lines 54-58.

43. Claims 30, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns et al. ("Cairns1") (US Patent Application: 2002/0030652 A1) in view of Cairnes et al. ("Cairns2") (US Patent No: 6,268,841 B1).

44. **As for independent claim 30**, Cairns1 teaches of a data driving method (Fig. 1, item 2) for a liquid crystal display device (Fig. 1), comprising:

45. performing a time-division on a digital pixel data (Fig. 4, item 13);

46. converting the time-divided digital pixel data into time-divided analog pixel signals (Fig. 4, item 12);

47. supplying the time-divided analog pixel signals to corresponding output channels (section [0015]);

48. providing the corresponding data lines with the held pixel signals for an enable period of an input source output enable signal and a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for a disable period of the input source output enable signal (section [0057]).

49. Cairns1 fails to teach of sampling and holding the time-divided analog pixel signals received through the output channels and simultaneously supplying the held pixel signals to corresponding data lines.

50. Cairns2 teaches of sampling and holding (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49) the time-divided analog pixel signals received through the output channels and simultaneously supplying the held pixel signals to corresponding data lines (Fig. 11b, item 8).

51. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the output part of Cairns2 with the rest of the components of the data driving apparatus of Cairns1 in order to have fewer converters (Cairns2, column 4, lines 33-35).

52. **As for claim 34**, Cairns1 teaches that the sampling the pixel signals is controlled by an ODD/EVEN signal performing a time-division on a horizontal period in section [0065].

53. Claims 3, 32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns et al. (“Cairns1”) (US Patent Application: 2002/0030652 A1), in view of Cairnes et al. (“Cairns2”) (US Patent No: 6,268,841 B1) and Nitta et al. (US Patent No: 6,661,402 B1).

54. As for **claim 3**, Cairns1 teaches that the digital-analog converter part (Fig. 4, item 12, section [0019]) and a demultiplexer part (Fig. 4, item 14, section [0016]).
55. Cairns1 fails to teach of a second multiplexer part.
56. Cairns2 teaches of a second multiplexer part (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49, column 10, lines 9-12).
57. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the second multiplexer of Cairns2 with the DAC of Cairns1 in order to provide the apparatus with a data line driver where there are more data lines than data line circuits (Cairns2, column 4, lines 21-25).
58. Cairns1 and Cairns2 fails to teach of a positive digital-analog converter converting the digital pixel data to a positive pixel signal; a negative digital-analog converter converting the digital pixel data to a negative pixel signal in accordance with a polarity control signal.
59. Nitta teaches of a positive digital-analog converter (Fig. 2, item 228, column 3, lines 24-26) converting the digital pixel data to a positive pixel signal; a negative digital-analog converter (Fig. 2, item 229, column 3, lines 27-31) converting the digital pixel data to a negative pixel signal in accordance with a polarity control signal (Fig. 2, item 425, column 6, lines 50-53).
60. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine separate the signals in accordance to their polarity as taught by Nitta with the driving circuitry of Cairns1 and Cairns2 in order to improve the picture quality by providing a more efficient driving method (Nitta, column 1, lines 50-55).

61. **As for claim 32**, Cairns1 and Cairns2 fails to teach of time-divided digital pixel data comprises: converting the time-divided pixel data to a positive analog pixel signal and a negative analog pixel signal; and selecting one of the positive and the negative analog pixel signals in accordance with a polarity control signal.

62. Nitta teaches that the time-divided digital pixel data comprises: converting the time-divided pixel data to a positive analog pixel signal and a negative analog pixel signal; and selecting one of the positive and the negative analog pixel signals in accordance with a polarity control signal (column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2).

63. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine separate the signals in accordance to their polarity as taught by Nitta with the driving circuitry of Cairns1 and Cairns2 in order to improve the picture quality by providing a more efficient driving method (Nitta, column 1, lines 50-55).

64. Claims 2, 14-29, 35-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns et al. ("Cairns1") (US Patent Application: 2002/0030652 A1), in view of Cairnes et al. ("Cairns2") (US Patent No: 6,268,841 B1), Enami et al (US Patent No: 5,892,493), Nitta et al. (US Patent No: 6,661,402 B1), and Morita (US Patent No.: 6,989,810 B2).

65. **As for independent claim 9**, Cairns1 teaches of a data driving apparatus (Fig. 1, item 2) for a liquid crystal display device (Fig. 1), comprising:

66. a multiplexer part (Fig. 4, item 13) performing a time-division on input digital pixel data for a plurality of data lines;

67. a digital-analog converter part (Fig. 4, item 12) including:

68. a demultiplexer (Fig. 4, item 14) part supplying the analog pixel signals from the digital-analog converter (Fig. 4, item 12) part to a plurality of output channels in section [0015], and of providing signals for the enable period of a source output enable signal and a reference voltage of liquid crystal cells for the disabled period of the source output enable signal (Fig. 2).

69. Cairns1 fails to teach of an output part outputting simultaneously the pixel signals from the corresponding demultiplexer output channels to corresponding data lines,

70. wherein the output part comprises:

71. a sampling part sampling the pixel signals supplied through the output channels of the demultiplexer;

72. a holding part holding the sampled pixel signals provided through the sampling part, and

73. a discharging part discharging the pixel signals held in the holding part for a first period to the corresponding data lines for a second period.

74. a positive digital-analog converter converting a plurality of time divided pixel data for the first horizontal period provided through the positive polarity output channel of the multiplexer part into positive pixel data;

75. and a negative digital-analog converter converting a plurality of time divided pixel data for the first horizontal period provided through the negative polarity output channel of the multiplexer part into the negative pixel data; and

76. that the signals are separately being supplied during the first half of the horizontal period and during the second half of the horizontal period.

Art Unit: 2629

77. **Cairns2 teaches of an output part** (Fig. 11b) outputting simultaneously the pixel signals from the corresponding demultiplexer output channels (Fig. 11b, section “output from demultiplexer”) to corresponding data lines (Fig. 11b, item 8),

78. wherein the output part (Fig. 11b) comprises:

79. a sampling part (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49) sampling the pixel signals through the output channels of the demultiplexer (Fig. 11b, section “output from demultiplexer”);

80. a holding part (Fig. 11b, items C1-C2) holding the sampled pixel signals provided through the sampling part (Fig. 11b, items 47, 49).

81. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the output part of Cairns2 with the rest of the components of the data driving apparatus of Cairns1 in order to have fewer converters (Cairns2, column 4, lines 33-35).

82. Cairnes1 and Cairnes2 fails to teach of a discharging part discharging the pixel signals held in the holding part for a first period to the corresponding data lines for a second period.

83. **Enami teaches of a discharging part** (Fig. 1, item 38) discharging the pixel signals held in the holding part for a first period to the corresponding data lines (Cairnes2, Fig. 11b, item 8) for a second period.

84. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a discharging part as taught by Enami with the data driver of Cairns1 and

Art Unit: 2629

the output part of Cairns2. The benefit of this combination is to allow the apparatus to perform multiplex driving in which the data voltage is sequentially applied to a number of data lines in each of the data groups (Enami, column 3, lines 49-51).most of the claim limitations are rejected in claims 1, 30 above.

85. Cairns1, Cairns2, and Enami, fails to teach of the components of the driving apparatus having selected polarity.

86. **Nitta teaches of the components of the driving apparatus having selected polarity** through a positive polarity output channel and a negative polarity output channel (column 3, lines 23-33, column 4, lines 25-30).

87. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected polarity as taught by Nitta with the various components of the driving apparatus of taught by Cairn1 in order to increase the speed and functionality of the driver (see Nitta: column 1, lines 50-55).

88. Cairns1, Cairns2, and Enami fails to teach that the signals are separately being supplied during the first half of the horizontal period and during the second half of the horizontal period.

89. **Morita teaches that the signals are separately being supplied** during the first half of the horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t2-t7) and during the second half of the horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t8-t13, column 8, lines 18-28).

90. a positive digital-analog converter (Fig. 7) converting a plurality of time divided pixel data for the first horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t2-t7) provided through the positive polarity output channel of the multiplexer part into positive pixel data;

91. and a negative digital-analog converter (Fig. 7) converting a plurality of time divided pixel data for the second horizontal period (Fig. 8, items t8-t13), provided through the negative polarity output channel of the multiplexer part into the negative pixel data (column 8, lines 18-28).

92. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made have the signals be supplied separate as taught by Morita with the data driving apparatus of Cairns1, the output part of Cairns2, and the second multiplexer part of Enami. The benefits of separating supplying the signals in the first and second horizontal period, is that it allows for a more simple data structure (Morita, column 3, lines 67-1).

93. **As for independent claim 35**, see the rejection of claims 1, 9, 30 above.

94. **As for claim 10**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the digital-analog converter (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 12) part comprises: a positive digital-analog converter (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 12) converting the pixel signal provided through the positive

Art Unit: 2629

output channel of the multiplexer part into a positive pixel signal; and a negative digital-analog converter (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 12) converting the pixel signal provided through the negative output channel of the multiplexer part into negative pixel signal (Cairns1, section [0015]) (Nitta, column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30).

95. **As for claim 11**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the multiplexer part (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 13) comprises:

96. a plurality of positive path switches coupled to input channels for the input pixel data and commonly connected to the positive polarity output channel; and

97. a plurality of negative path switches coupled to the input channels for the pixel data, connected to the positive path switches in parallel, and commonly connected to negative polarity output channel (Cairns1, Fig.4, section [0015]) (Nitta, Fig. 2, column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30).

98. **As for claim 12**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the demultiplexer (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 14) part comprises: a plurality of positive path switches forming a plurality of different positive paths corresponding to the data lines, and commonly connected to a positive digital-analog converter, and a plurality of negative path switches forming a plurality of different negative paths, commonly connected to a negative digital-analog converter (Id.), wherein the negative path switches are connected to the positive path switches in parallel (Cairns1, Fig.4, section [0015]) (Nitta, Fig. 1, column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30).

99. **As for claim 14**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the sampling part (Cairns2, Fig. 11b) and the holding part sample and hold the pixel signals supplied for the next horizontal period through the channel different from that of the pixel signal supplied for the first horizontal period (Cairns2, Fig. 12, each column has at least three sets of buffers).

100. **As for claim 15**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the sampling part (Cairns2, Fig. 11b) has a second demultiplexer (Cairns2, Fig. 3, item 25) part comprising; a plurality of the positive path switches forming a plurality of different positive paths and connected to the output channels of the demultiplexer part (Id.); and a plurality of negative path switches forming a plurality of different negative paths and connected to the output channels of the demultiplexer part (Id.) (Cairns2, Fig. 12, column 10, lines 18-41) (Nitta, Fig. 2, column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30).

101. **As for claim 16**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the holding part comprises: positive path capacitors charging and holding the positive pixel signals from the positive path switches of the second demultiplexer (Cairns2, Fig. 3, item 25) part; and negative path capacitors charging and holding the negative pixel signals from the negative path switches of the second demultiplexer (Id.) part in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

102. **As for claim 17**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the discharging part comprises: a plurality of positive path switches connected to the positive path switches of the second demultiplexer (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 14) through the holding part and connected to the data lines; and a second demultiplexer (Id.) part having the negative path switches connected to the negative switches of the second demultiplexer (Id.) through the holding part and connected to the negative channel switches and the data lines in parallel in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

103. **As for claim 18**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the multiplexer, the demultiplexer (Cairns2, Fig. 3, item 25), and the second demultiplexer (Id.) are controlled by a first control signal through an input polarity control signal and an ODD/EVEN signal performing the time-division on a horizontal period in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

104. **As for claim 19**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the ODD/EVEN signal performs the time-division on an enable period determined by a source output enable signal for the horizontal period in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

105. **As for claim 20**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the ODD/EVEN signal further performs the time-division on a disable period of the source output

enable signal in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

106. **As for claim 21**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the multiplexer part, the demultiplexer (14) part in Fig.4 and section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}. The combination of Cairns2 and Nitta teaches that the second demultiplexer (64) part recharge the holding part with the pixel signals for the disable period, wherein the pixel signals are generated for a previous enable period in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

107. **As for claim 22**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the source output enable signal is generated by increasing the disable period of an external reference source output enable signal in order to secure a recharging period of the holding part in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

108. **As for claim 23**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches the second multiplexer part is controlled by the first control signal and a second control signal that is phase-inversed with respect to the first control signal in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

109. **As for claim 24**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that an output buffer (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) part buffering the pixel signals discharged from the holding part to the discharging part in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

110. **As for claim 25**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the output buffer (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) part comprises: a plurality of positive path output buffers (Id.) connected between the positive path capacitors of the holding part and the positive path switches of the second multiplexer part; and a plurality of negative path output buffers (Id.) connected between the negative path capacitors of the holding part and the negative path switches of the second multiplexer part in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

111. **As for claim 26**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the output buffer (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) part buffering the pixel signal supplied through the output channels of the second multiplexer part and supplying the pixel signals to each of the data lines in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

112. **As for claim 27**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the output buffer (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) part comprises: a plurality of output buffers (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) connected between the output channels of the second multiplexer part and the data

lines in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

113. **As for claim 28**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: a shift register (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 10) sequentially shifting an input source start pulse in accordance with an input source shift clock to generate a sampling signal; a latch part (Cairns1, Fig. 4, item 11) latching pixel data and simultaneously providing the multiplexer part with the latched pixel data for the enable period of the input source output enable signal; and a level shifter part raising a voltage of the pixel data from the multiplexer part to supply the pixel data to the digital-analog convert part in Fig.4 and section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

114. **As for claim 29**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: teaches that a third multiplexer part supplying the pixel signals from the output part to the corresponding data lines for the enable period of the source output enable signal and commonly supplying a reference voltage of the liquid crystal cells to the corresponding data lines for the disable period of the source output enable signal in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

115. **As for claim 36**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: teaches that the performing a time-division on a digital pixel data and the converting the time-divided digital pixel data are controlled by an input polarity control signal and a first control signal

through an ODD/EVEN signal performing a time-division on a horizontal period in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

116. **As for claim 37**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: teaches that the ODD/EVEN signal performs a time-division on an enable period determined by a source output enable signal in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

117. **As for claim 38**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: teaches that the ODD/EVEN signal performs a time-division on a disable signal of a source output enable signal in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

118. **As for claim 39**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of: teaches the pixel signals are sampled and held by the ODD/EVEN signal for the disable period, wherein the pixel signals in a present enable period are the same as the pixel signals in a previous enable period in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

119. **As for claim 40**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches the disable period of the source output enable signal is determined by increasing the disable period of a

reference source output enable signal inputted from an external source in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

120. **As for claim 41**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the outputting the held pixel signals is controlled by a first control signal and a second control signal having a phase inversion with respect to the first signal in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

121. **As for claim 42**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches the performing a time-division on a digital pixel data is carried out by outputting the time-divided pixel data with a polarity through the time-divided pixel data of the output channel opposite to that of the time-divided pixel data and of the output channel for a previous period in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

122. **As for claim 43**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the performing a time-division on a digital pixel data is carried out by converting the time-divided pixel data into the time-divided pixel data with a polarity opposite to that of the time-divided analog signal and of the output channel of a previous period in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

123. **As for claim 44**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the sampling and holding the time-divided analog pixel signals is performed by sampling and

holding the time-divided pixel signal through a path with a polarity opposite to that of time-divided pixel signal and of the output channel of a previous period in Fig. 12 and in column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

124. **As for claim 45**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches the output held pixel signals is buffered through an output buffer (Cairns2, Fig. 11b, item 40) part prior to supplying to the corresponding data lines, wherein the output buffer (Id.) part is connected to the corresponding data lines in Fig. 12 and in column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

125. **As for claim 46**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches that the held pixel signals are supplied to the corresponding data lines for the enable period of an input source output enable signal, and a reference voltage of the liquid crystal cells is commonly supplied to the corresponding data lines for the disable period in Fig. 4 and in section [0015] {Cairns1} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

126. **As for claim 47**, Cairns1 as modified by Cairns2, Enami and Nitta teaches of raising a voltage of the time-divided pixel data after the performing a time-division on a digital pixel data in Fig. 12 and column 10, lines 18-41 {Cairns2} and in column 3, lines 23-33; column 4, lines 35-30 and Fig. 2 {Nitta}.

Conclusion

127. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).

128. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

129. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP
15 May 2008

Tammy Pham
/Tammy Pham/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629